ORIGINAL ARTICLE

JOB SATISFACTION OF HEALTH EXTENSION WORKERS IN WEST GOJJAM ZONE, AMHARA REGION, ETHIOPIA

Mezgebu Yitayal ¹, Yemane Berhane², Alemayehu Worku³, Yigzaw Kebede¹

ABSTRACT

Background: Having highly satisfied health workers is one of the key resources for successfully implementing public health programs at grass root levels. Ethiopia implemented a nationwide Health Extension Program that is primarily staffed by health extension workers in rural areas in 2003. While the program is innovative and supposed to bring better health to the population in rural areas, studies done concerning the satisfaction of the health extension workers are rare. Therefore, the objective of this study was to measure the job satisfaction of health extension workers and identify factors associated with it.

Methods: A cross-sectional quantitative study was conducted among 282 health extension workers to assess their job satisfaction in West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia, in 2012. The sample size was determined using the single population proportion formula, and data were collected using a structured questionnaire containing satisfaction items on a Likert scale. The mean was used to report job satisfaction levels, while the multiple linear regression model was employed to identify factors associated with job satisfaction.

Results: Two hundred sixty-nine health extension workers participated in the study with a 95.4% response rate. The health extension workers' overall mean job satisfaction score was 3.33 out of 5. Job satisfaction had a significant association with organizational culture (t-score = 2.115, p < 0.05), and workplace conditions (t-score = 7.607, p < 0.001). Job satisfaction was also strongly related to organizational citizenship behaviour, motivational properties of jobs, job preferences (p < 0.05), and job characteristics (p < 0.001).

Conclusion: The job satisfaction of health extension workers can be potentially increased by improving workplace conditions and organizational culture. The workers were dissatisfied with extrinsic factors which are critical elements for improving their satisfaction by making it possible for them to be well-addressed by decision makers and managers.

Key Words: job satisfaction; health extension workers; workplace conditions; organizational cultures; Ethiopia

BACKGROUND

Expanding access to basic health services is one of the challenges of public health programs in developing countries. Countries have adapted different strategies to address this issue. The Health Extension Program (HEP) of Ethiopia is an innovative approach implemented by the Government of Ethiopia to ensure the effectiveness of the implementation of its wider Health Sector Development Program (1). The HEP is mainly implemented at health posts which are designed to serve the population of each kebele,

about 5000 people. Every health post is staffed by two female health extension workers (HEWs) who are trained for one year and paid by the Government (2, 3).

To improve service quality, efficiency and equity, worker motivation is a key factor (4-6). Motivation is an internal psychological process that can be defined as an individual's degree of willingness to exert and maintain an effort towards organizational goals (6). Health workers motivational factors can be seen at individual, organizational and socio-cultural levels (6, 7).

¹ University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia; ²Addis Continental Institute of Public Health, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; ³Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

^{*}Corresponding author: Mezgebu Yitayal, P. O. Box 196, Department of Health Service Management and Health Economics, Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia, Tel: +251-581116221 (office), +251-947 057683 (mobile), E-mail: mezgebuy@gmail.com

Individual level factors include a worker's individual goals, self-concept, and expectations for and experiences of outcomes and/or consequences of work motivation (6, 7). A worker's motivation is dependent upon the organizational context (organizational structures and processes, organizational culture, and human resource management inputs). Organizational structures and processes will affect workers' experience of outcomes and the nature of feedback that a worker receives from colleagues and supervisors within the health system.

Human resource management is an important organizational system which is likely to affect both workers' perception of their own capability and their true capability. Organizational work culture contributes to the individual's level of commitment and motivation. Finally, the social-cultural context (community expectations, peer pressure, societal values) will also contribute to the individual's motivational processes (6, 7).

Motivation determinants can also be classified as values, personality, organizational culture, workplace conditions, and personality (6, 7). Motivational outcomes can be seen as affective consequences (job satisfaction, and commitment), cognitive consequences and performance consequences of motivation (6-8).

Motivation by itself is not an observable phenomenon; what are possible to observe are only such results of the motivational process as improved performance and job satisfaction (6). The main focus of this study was job satisfaction which is an indicator of the affective consequences of HEWs motivation. Job satisfaction is the degree to which HEWs are content with their job which is measured in terms of opportunities to use abilities, to learn and do new

things, as well as pays, fringe benefits, educational and training opportunities, working conditions and relationships with co-workers, supervisors and the management (6, 7).

Empirical Evidences: Within the health sector, there are a substantial body of empirical works that explore the determinants of health workers' motivation (5, 9-13). Existing studies that examined factors affecting motivation mainly focused on the motivation of community health workers (CHWs), nurses and doctors and classified the determinants of motivation into incentives and disincentives or motivating and demotivating factors (12, 14-20).

Studies, like the systematic review of motivation and retention of health workers in developing countries (Africa and Asia) reported factors in terms of such major themes that regard motivation as financial benefits (salary or allowances), career development, continuing education, infrastructure, resource availability, and personal recognition or appreciation (21). Other studies conducted on conceptual framework and the determinants of health workers' motivation, categorized the determinants of motivation into value, personality, working conditions, and organizational culture (4-8, 22).

The HEP in Ethiopia, which started in 2003 in rural areas, is one of the major public health interventions that are producing promising results in terms of increasing the coverage of primary health care services. The HEWs are deployed in every village to implement the program, however, the level of their job satisfaction and associated factors have not been studied. Therefore, this study was conducted with the aim of assessing the job satisfaction of HEWs and factors associated with it.

METHOD

Study Design: A cross-sectional quantitative study was conducted among 282 HEW's working in 141 health posts. The health posts were selected from 6 districts (Yilmana Densa, Mecha, Semien Achefer, Bure, Jabi Tehnan and Dega Damot) in West Gojjam Zone, which is one of the 10 zones of the Amhara National Regional State, Ethiopia. West Gojjam has 13 rural and 5 urban districts which are further divided into "kebeles".

Sample Size and Sampling Procedures: The sample size was determined using the single population proportion formula by assuming a 95% confidence interval (CI), 5% marginal error (d), and 50% of HEWs might be satisfied:

$$n = \underline{Z\alpha^2 p (1-p)}$$
$$d^2$$

where, n = sample size, p = proportion of HEWs who may be satisfied, and d = assumed marginal error (5%).

$$n = (1.96)^{2} (0.50) (0.50) = 384 \text{ HEWs}$$
$$(0.05)^{2}$$

Since the total number of HEWs in West Gojjam Zone was less than 10,000, the correction formula, nf = n/(1+n/N) was used,

where, $nf = sample \ size \ after \ correction, \ N = total$ number of HEWs

$$nf = 384/(1+384/772) = 256 HEWs$$

Therefore, the final sample size was 256 + 26 = 282 HEWs, assuming a 10% non-response rate (26 HEWs).

A multistage sampling procedure was used to select the study participants. At the first stage, six rural districts were randomly selected out of 13. At the second stage, out of the 184 kebeles in these 6 districts, 141 were selected randomly. Finally, 141 X 2 a total of 282 HEWs in the selected kebeles were included in the study.

Data Collection Procedures: A self-administered structured Likert scale questionnaire was used to collect information. The questionnaire was adapted from a previous study (6), and the data collection instrument and procedures were pre-tested before the actual data collection and modified to suit the local context. Data were collected during HEW's monthly meeting held at common places near their work area. Such meetings were usually attended by six HEWs from three kebeles. The questionnaire was filled in immediately by HEWs in the presence of data collection facilitators. The questionnaire had seven sections: one section on background information of HEWs, five sections on items thought to be associated with the determinants of job satisfaction, and one section on job satisfaction.

The first section asked about respondents' background information which included, age, total years of work experience, years of experience at the health post, and years of experience in the current position. The remaining 6 sections of the questionnaire contained a total of 123 individual items adapted from previous research on job satisfaction and individual determinants of job satisfaction (6). All these items used a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree "1" to strongly agree "5".

In sections 2-6 of the questionnaire, HEWs were asked about their individual perceptions of various determinants of job satisfaction. Section 2 dealt with values, and contained 34 items related to work ethics (work as a virtue, personal values orientation, and personal effort orientation), locus of control, and expectations (perceptions of personal and social con-

sequences of poor performance). Section 3, on organizational culture, contained 19 items including items related to organizational citizenship behaviors, management and supervisory openness, and job pride. Section 4 focused on workplace conditions, and contained 30 items on motivational characteristics, job characteristics (job autonomy and feedback) and job preferences. Section 5 contained 22 items on personality scales, including items on generalized self-efficacy, motivational skills (motivational and emotional control), and desire for work achievement. Section 6 on organizational constraints and obstacles contained 6 items related to lack of materials and equipment, and bureaucratic constraints.

The seventh and last section focused on job satisfaction and contained 12 items grouped under general satisfaction, intrinsic satisfaction and extrinsic satisfaction. General satisfaction was measured in terms of how HEWs were satisfied with their co-workers, supervisor, job, pay and management. Intrinsic satisfaction was measured in terms of HEWs' opportunity to use their own skills in the job, learn new things, accomplish something worthwhile, and do something that makes someone feel good. Extrinsic satisfaction was measured by how HEWs were satisfied with fringe benefits, educational and training opportunities, and physical working conditions (space, lighting, and ventilation).

In general, based on the questionnaire, we used 6 scales and 21 sub-scales, that is, 5 scales and 18 sub-scales to measure the determinants of job satisfaction and 1 scale and 3 sub-scales to measure job satisfaction.

Composite Scale Reliability: Item responses to all sections of the instruments were quantitatively coded

and entered into a combined data file. Based on correlations and factor loadings, scales and sub-scales of job satisfaction and its determinants were developed. Cronbach's alpha, a measure of reliability which rates variability across individual items in a scale and across individuals in the sample, was used to retain variables for the final data analysis.

In this study, we used a Cronbach's alpha cut-off point of 0.70 for retaining the scales. In the reliability analysis, most scales showed a reliability of greater than 0.75. This indicated that the constructs measured by these scales seemed to hold in the health post settings of the study area. The items for measuring organizational constraint scale were dropped out of the final data analysis since the scale showed a very low reliability of 0.110. Based on the reliability analysis and Cronbach's alpha score, 5 scales (12 subscales) i.e. 1 scale and 3 sub-scales for measuring job satisfaction, and 4 scales and 9 sub-scales for measuring the determinants of job satisfaction were retained for final analysis of data (Table 1).

Table 1: Reliability scores of scales and subscales of HEW satisfaction and its determinants in West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia, 2012

Scales	Sub-Scales (No. of items used in sub-scales)	Cronbach's alpha	Cronbach's alpha (standardized)
Values	Work ethic (13)	0.75	077
	Expectations (2)	0.71	0.72
	Total (15)	0.76	0.78
Organizational	Pride (3)	0.70	0.71
Culture	Organizational citizenship (8)	0.86	0.86
	Total (11)	0.84	0.84
Workplace Conditions	Motivational properties (11)	0.77	0.78
	Job preferences (5)	0.74	0.75
	Job characteristics (10)	0.84	0.84
	Total (26)	0.89	0.89
Personality	Motivational skills (5)	0.70	0.73
	Desire for work achievement (6)	0.86	0.86
	Total (11)	0.76	0.77
Satisfaction	General satisfaction (5)	0.71	0.72
	Intrinsic satisfaction (4)	0.77	0.76
	Extrinsic satisfaction (3)	0.75	0.75
	Total (12)	0.81	0.77

Data Analysis: Data were entered into Epi-Info version 3.5.1 and analyzed by using STATA version 12 and by calculating the summated scores for the overall satisfaction. The Likert scale responses were summed up and treated as interval data. Hence, parametric statistical tests, such as mean, standard deviation, and linear regression were applied. Mean and standard deviation were used to describe demographic and work-related characteristics of HEW's job satisfaction and its determinants.

A simple linear regression was used to determine the association between each explanatory variable and

the outcome variable (job satisfaction). A multiple linear regression was used to control for confounding factors and to get less biased estimates of the true relationship between explanatory variables and the outcome variable.

Ethical Issues: The University of Gondar Ethics Review Committee approved the research proposal. A written informed consent was obtained from each participant. Personal identifiers of respondents were not taken to ensure confidentiality. The respondents were also informed of their freedom to withdraw at any time while they were being interviewed.

RESULT

Two hundred sixty-nine HEWs with a response rate of 95.4% completed the questionnaire. All of them were females in the age range of 19 to 35 years and a mean age of 23.47 ± 2.23 years. The mean total year of experience was 4.13 ± 1.53 . Thirty-nine percent of the participants had supervisory roles (Table 2).

Table 2: Demographic and work-related characteristics of HEWs in West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia, 2012

Variables	Number(%)				
Age					
Less than 22	41 (16.08)				
22 - 23 years	94 (36.86)				
24 - 25 years	80 (31.37)				
More than 25	40 (15.69)				
Total	255 (100)				
Total length of experience					
1-2 years	41 (15.24)				
3-4 years	90 (33.46)				
5-8 years	138 (51.30)				
Total	269 (100)				
Years of experience in Health Post					
1-2 years	42 (15.61)				
3-4 years	97 (36.06)				
5-8 years	130 (48.33)				
Total	269 (100)				
Supervisory role					
Yes	105 (39.03)				
No	164 (60.97)				
Total	269 (100)				
Staff under supervision					
1 staff	77 (73.33)				
2 staff	28 (26.67)				
Total	105 (100)				

The overall mean score of job satisfaction was 3.33. The HEWs rated highest on the job satisfaction determinant subscale, desire for work achievement with a mean score of 4.56, and they rated lowest on the job satisfaction determinant subscale, job characteristics (job authority and feedback) with a mean score of 3.43 (Table 3).

Table 3: Mean scores by HEWs on satisfaction and its determinants in West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia, 2012

Scale	Sub-Scales (No. of items used in sub- scales)	Mean	Standard Deviation	
Values	Work ethic (13)	4.23	.50	
	Expectations (2)	4.25	.89	
	Total (15)	4.24	.49	
Organi-	Pride (3)	3.80	.91	
zational Culture	Organizational citizenship (8)	3.50	.89	
	Total(11)	3.58	.77	
Work- place Condi- tions	Motivational properties (11)	3.78	.64	
	Job preferences (5)	4.16	.67	
	Job characteristics (10)	3.43	.83	
	Total (26)	3.72	.61	
Person- ality	Motivational skills (5)	3.99	.67	
	Desire for work achievement (6)	4.56	.53	
	Total (11)	4.30	.50	
Satisfac- tion	General satisfaction (5)	3.36	.85	
	Intrinsic satisfaction (4)	3.61	.99	
	Extrinsic satisfaction (3)	2.89	1.24	
	Total (12)	3.33	.78	

The study indicated that, on average, one unit of organizational culture and workplace conditions were associated with 0.133 (t-score = 2.115, p < 0.05), and 0.507 (t-score = 7.607, p < 0.001) points of job satisfaction unit, respectively, other variables being constant (Table 4).

Table 4: Satisfaction measurement scales associated with HEWs satisfaction in West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia, 2012

Model	Unstandardized Co- efficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	<i>P> t </i>	95% CI
	Beta	Standard Error	-			
Years of Experience in the health post	046	.025	090	-1.822	.070	095, .004
Values	.000	.006	.003	.052	.958	012, .013
Organizational Culture	.012	.006	.133	2.115	.035	.001, .023
Workplace conditions	.025	.003	.507	7.607	.000	.018, .031
Personality	.002	.008	.014	.244	.808	014, .018
Constant	.521	.420		1.240	.216	306, 1.347

Model Summary: R = .611, R square = .373, Adjusted R square = .361, Std. Error of the Estimate = .621, R Square Change = .373, F Change = 31.280, Significance of F Change = .000

The study also indicated that, on average, one unit of organizational citizenship behaviour, motivational properties of jobs, job preferences, and job characteristics were associated with additional 0.126 (t-score

= 2.086, p < 0.05), 0.197(t-score = 2.601, p < 0.05), 0.138(t-score = 2.302, p < 0.05), and 0.273 (t-score = 3.918, p < 0.001) points job satisfaction unit, respectively, holding other variables constant (Table 5).

Table 5: Satisfaction measurement sub-scales associated with HEWs satisfaction in West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia, 2012

Model	Unstandardized Co- efficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	P> t	95% CI
	Beta	Standard Error	•			
Years of Experience in the health post	047	.025	092	-1.833	.068	097, .003
Work ethic	.007	.007	.062	.983	.327	007, .022
Expectations	038	.023	088	-1.678	.094	083, .007
Pride	.000	.016	002	043	.965	033, .032
Organizational citizenship	.014	.007	.126	2.086	.038	.001, .027
Motivational properties of job	.022	.008	.197	2.601	.010	.005, .038
Job preferences	.032	.014	.138	2.362	.019	.005, .059
Job characteristics	.025	.007	.273	3.918	.000	.013, .038
Motivational skills	.005	.014	.022	.379	.705	022, .032
Desire for work achievement	034	.014	.000	.002	.998	028, .028
Constant	.508	.432		1.174	.241	344, 1.359

Model Summary: R = .618, R square = .382, Adjusted R square = .359, Std. Error of the Estimate = .622, R Square Change = .382, F Change = 15.978, Significance of F Change = .000

DISCUSSION

This study shows that job satisfaction has a significant association with organizational culture and workplace conditions. The study also indicates that job satisfaction has a significant association with organizational citizenship behaviour, motivational properties of job, job preferences, and job characteristics. HEWs are all right with the general as well as intrinsic satisfaction factors, but not so with the extrinsic ones.

HEWs are satisfied with the general satisfaction factors, such as their co-workers, and intrinsic satisfaction factors (opportunity to use abilities in the job, learn new things, accomplish something worthwhile, and do something that makes them feel good about themselves as persons) which is consistent with a cross-sectional study on job satisfaction among community health workers in the Islamic Republic of Iran in which the respondents are satisfied with the work itself and their co-workers (20). This may be due to the nature of the work itself as it mainly focuses on serving the community to which HEWs and community health workers are familiar.

HEWs are dissatisfied with extrinsic factors, such as fringe benefits, educational and training opportunities, and physical working conditions (space, lighting, and ventilation), pay, and management. This is consistent with the findings of studies in South Africa, Tanzania, Iran, and Pakistan showing that poor salaries, lack of promotions, poor access to training opportunities, poor working conditions, inadequate facilities for performing expected duties, and lack of career development opportunities are among factors that demotivate workers (15, 17, 20, 23). This is also supported by qualitative studies on issues relating to

recruitment, motivation and retention of health workers in sub-Saharan Africa that show lack of fairness and incentives, poor inter-professional relations, communication system, human resource management practices are the reasons for dissatisfaction and attrition (16, 19, 24); and mixed method studies in Bangladesh and Kenya that indicate heavy workload, dissatisfaction with pay, poor infrastructure, inadequate education facilities and opportunities, and inadequate supplies and supervision are factors contributing to dissatisfaction and attrition (25, 26). This could be due to the fact that compared to intrinsic factors, extrinsic factors such as salary, training opportunity, and working conditions tend to demotivate workers even if they are improved by the management.

This study indicates that job satisfaction of HEWs has a significant association with organizational cultures and working conditions. The result is consistent with those of studies on job satisfaction and work motivation among both public and private sector health workers in India (27) and work motivation of professional nurses in South Africa (15) that has identified good working relationships with colleagues and management as motivating factors.

The study also shows organizational behaviour has a positive significant association with the satisfaction of HEWs. This is consistent with an empirical study of job satisfaction and organizational behaviour at higher learning institutions in Malaysia that stated both intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfactions are positively associated with organizational citizenship behaviour (28). This may be due to the fact that organizational citizenship behaviour (which is manifested by acts, such as helping each other, giving constructive suggestions, sharing expertise, and acting as peacemakers) is more of self-doing a thing that could

result in the satisfaction of workers.

The motivational properties of jobs (opportunity for social interaction, clear and specific jobs, requirements and goals, variety of duties, tasks, and activities, high level of knowledge and skills, variety of knowledge and skills, opportunities for advancement, feelings of achievement and accomplishment, and opportunity to participate in decisions) improve the satisfaction of HEWs. Personal rewards and other non-monetary incentives for health workers are known to improve motivation and help retaining them in government positions for prolonged periods of time (14, 29).

Job preferences (being able to do a complete piece of work, having considerable freedom to adopt own approach, being able to judge own work performance, having job that gives a feeling of doing something really worthwhile, being able to achieve something that really has value), and job characteristics (job authority and feedback) positively correlate with the satisfaction of HEWs. The result is consistent with those of previous studies (30).

Limitations: This study was designed to assess the job satisfaction of HEWs using a cross-sectional design; thus, it was perhaps not possible to ascertain the causal relationships between job satisfaction and its determinants. The study enrolled only HEWs that were on the job at the moment; thus, HEWs who left the health sector earlier might have had different opinions. The work may also be prone to measurement bias since the questionnaire was a bit long due to the nature of the measurements of satisfaction and its determinants. However, we hope these limitations do not undermine the usefulness of the work as it has provided valuable information to policy makers; on

top of that, it can serve as a starting point for further investigations.

CONCLUSION

The overall mean score for satisfaction was 3.33, and the overall job satisfaction determinants were 4.24 for values, 3.58 for organizational culture, 3.72 for workplace conditions, and 4.30 for personality. The study showed that job satisfaction of HEWs had a positive association with workplace conditions and organizational culture. It also indicated that organizational citizenship behaviour, motivational properties of jobs, job characteristics, (job authority and feedback), and job preferences were positively related with job satisfaction. The HEWs are dissatisfied with extrinsic satisfaction factors, such as the fringe benefits they received, educational and training opportunities, and physical working conditions (space, lighting, ventilation). HEWs are also dissatisfied with factors such as pay and management.

Authors' Contributions: MY conceived the study, participated in the design, collection, and analysis of the study, and drafted the manuscript. YB participated in the conceptualization and design of the study and helped to draft the manuscript. AW helped in the design of the study and analysis of the data, and contributed to the drafting of the manuscript. YK also participated in the design of the study and contributed to the drafting of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' Information: MY is an Assistant Professor of Public Health at the Department of Health Service Management and Health Economics, Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and

Health Sciences, the University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia.

YB is a Professor of Epidemiology and Public Health at Addis Continental Institute of Public Health, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

AW is a Professor of Biostatistics at Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

YK is a Professor of Public Health at the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, the University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the Amhara National Regional Health Bureau and West Gojjam Zone Health Department for facilitating the study. Our special thanks also extend to those who participated in the study, unreservedly committing their time. The study was funded by the University of Gondar and the African Health and Population Research Center (AHPRC).

REFERENCE

- FMOH. Health Sector Strategic plan (HSDP-III). In: Planning and Programming Department EFMoH, editor. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2005.
- FMOH. Health Extension Program in Ethiopia Profile. In: Health Extension and Education Center EFMoH, editor. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2007.

- FMOH. Health extension program implementations guide line. In: Health EFMoH, editor. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2005.
- Bennett S, Franco LM. Public Sector Health Worker Motivation and Health Sector Reform: A Conceptual Framework Bethesda, Maryland, USA: Partnerships for Health Reform, Abt Associates Inc., 1999.
- Kanfer R. Measuring Health Worker Motivation in Developing Countries. Bethesda, Maryland, USA: Partnerships for Health Reform, Abt Associates Inc., 1999.
- Franco LM, Kanfer R, Milburn L, Qarrain R, Stubblebine P. An In-depth Analysis of Individual Determinants and Outcomes of Health Worker Motivation in Two Jordanian Hospitals. Bethesda, Maryland, USA: Partnerships for Health Reform, Abt Associates Inc., 2000.
- 7. Franco LM, Bennett S, Kanfer R. Health sector reform and public sector health worker motivation: a conceptual framework. Social Science and Medicine. 2002;54(2002):1255–66.
- 8. Mbindyo PM, Blaauw D, Gilson L, English M. Developing a tool to measure health worker motivation in district hospitals in Kenya. Human Resources for Health 7. 2009;7(40).
- Dieleman M, Cuong PV, Anh LV, Martineau T. Identifying factors for job motivation of rural health workers in North Viet Nam. Human Resources for Health. 2003;1(10).
- Lambrou P, Kontodimopoulos N, Niakas D. Motivation and job satisfaction among medical and nursing staff in a Cyprus public general hospital. Human Resources for Health. 2010;8(26).
- Manongi RN, Marchant TC, Bygbjerg IC. Improving motivation among primary health care

- workers in Tanzania: a health worker perspective. Human Resources for Health. 2006;4(6).
- Dieleman M, Toonen J, Touré H, Martineau T.
 The match between motivation and performance management of health sector workers in Mali.
 Human Resources for Health. 2006;4(2).
- 13. Bennett S, Franco LM, Kanfer R, Stubblebine P. The Development of Tools to Measure the Determinants and Consequences of Health Worker Motivation in Developing Countries. Bethesda, Maryland, USA: Partnerships for Health Reform Project, Abt Associates Inc., 2001.
- Bhattacharyya K, Winch P, LeBan K, Tien M. Community Health Worker Incentives and Disincentives: How They Affect Motivation, Retention, and Sustainability. Arlington, Virginia: United States Agency International Development, 2001.
- Pillay R. Work satisfaction of professional nurses in South Africa: a comparative analysis of the public and private sectors. Human Resources for Health. 2009;7(15).
- Mbindyo P, Gilson L, Blaauw D, English M. Contextual influences on health worker motivation in district hospitals in Kenya. Implementation Science. 2009;4(43).
- Leshabari MT, Muhondwa EPY, Mwangu MA, Mbembati NAA. Motivation of Health Care Workers in Tanzania: A Case Study of Muhimbili National Hospital. East African Journal of Public Health. 2008;5(1):32-7.
- Mathauer I, Imhoff I. Health worker motivation in Africa: the role of non-financial incentives and human resource management tools. Human Resources for Health. 2006;4(24).
- Manafa O, McAuliffe E, Maseko F, Bowie C, MacLachlan M, Normand C. Retention of health

- workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management. Human Resources for Health 2009;7(65).
- Kebriaei A, Moteghedi MS. Job satisfaction among community health workers in Zahedan District, Islamic Republic of Iran. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal. 2009;15(5):1156-63.
- 21. Shattuck MW, Bidwell P, Thomas S, Wyness L, Blaauw D, Ditlopo P. Motivation and retention of health workers in developing countries: a systematic review. Health Services Research. 2008;8(247).
- 22. Franco LM, Bennett S, Kanfer R, Stubblebine P. Health Worker Motivation in Jordan and Georgia: A Synthesis of Results. Bethesda, Maryland, USA: Partnerships for Health Reform Project, Abt Associates Inc., 2000.
- 23. Kumar R, Ahmed J, Shaikh BT, Hafeez R, Hafeez A. Job satisfaction among public health professionals working in public sector: a cross sectional study from Pakistan. Human Resources for Health. 2013;11(2).
- 24. Snow RC, Asabir K, Mutumba M, Koomson E, Gyan K, Dzodzomenyo M, et al. Key factors leading to reduced recruitment and retention of health professionals in remote areas of Ghana: a qualitative study and proposed policy solutions. Human Resources for Health. 2011;9(13).
- 25. Rahman SM, Ali NA, Jennings L, Seraji MHR, Mannan I, Shah R, et al. Factors affecting recruitment and retention of community health workers in a newborn care intervention in Bangladesh. Human Resources for Health. 2010;8 (12).
- 26. Mullei K, Mudhune S, Wafula J, Masamo E, English M, Goodman C, et al. Attracting and retaining health workers in rural areas: investi-

- gating nurses' views on rural posts and policy interventions. Health Services Research 2010;10 (Suppl 1)(57).
- 27. Peters DH, Chakraborty S, Mahapatra P, Steinhardt L. Job satisfaction and motivation of health workers in public and private sectors: cross-sectional analysis from two Indian states. Human Resources for Health Human Resources for Health. 2010;8(27).
- 28. Mohammad J, Habib FQ, Alias MA. Job Satisfaction and Organisational Citizenship Behav-

- iour: An Empirical Study at Higher Learning Institutions. Asian Academy of Management Journal. 2011;16(2):149-65
- Chhea C, Warren N, Manderson L. Health worker effectiveness and retention in rural Cambodia.
 International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health. 2010;10(1391).
- Jessen JT. Job satisfaction and social rewards in the social services. Journal of Comparative Social Work 2010;1.